



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/725,850      | 11/30/2000  | David H. Rose        | 1828.0002M          | 1172             |

7590 12/21/2001

Epstein Edell Shapiro & Finnan LLC  
1901 Research Boulevard  
Suite 400  
Rockville, MD 20850-3164

EXAMINER

EVANS, JEFFERSON A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2652

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/725,850

Applicant(s)

Rose

Examiner

Jefferson Evans

Group Art Unit  
2652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jefferson Evans (PTO)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Stuart Shapiro (40,169)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 18, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: did not discuss a particular claim

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the 112 1st paragraph rejection in general terms, with applicant's representative quickly pointing out a few specific portions of the original disclosure that he felt provided basis for the claims, and in particular as concerns the use of the broad phrase "storage medium" rather than the more limiting phrase "magnetic medium". The Examiner indicated he would consider applicant's arguments on this issue once a reply was submitted and that his position on the 112 1st paragraph issue was not "set in stone" as it were.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

12/18/01  
JEFFERSON EVANS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2652